

THE SYDNEY MORNING HERALD, FRIDAY, JUNE 22, 1888.

THE NEW RAILWAY BOARD.

ENGAGEMENT OF MR. EDDY AS CHAIRMAN.
CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE PREMIER
AND MR. GOODCHAP.

many difficulties under which he laboured. Apart from the expenditure of £10,000 for the exhibits and space, the sum of £3000 was very small, compared to that of £8000 for the management of a smaller court and a shorter period than the Adelaide Exhibition was open. His costs were nothing like those, and besides he had to bring the exhibits back and provide insurance for them. What the accounts were closed and rendered he felt sure that the House would have nothing to complain of in connection with them.

Mr. HAYNES said he desired to say distinctly that neither any member of the Government nor any member of the House had anything to do in causing him to take the course he did.

Sir HENRY PARKES said that he could only say, in reply to the enquiry as to the millions of pounds to be held in Melbourne, that nothing final had been done, but if any proposition was submitted to him—and there might be some proposition in his office which had not yet come before him—for the decision of the House to force it to go to the Bank to take part in any parade held on the site of the Exhibition, the expenditure which would have to be incurred would be an element in the consideration of their position. He was desirous of co-operating with the Government in the widest possible sense, in their exhibitions, as far as possible, in the absence of money, and to join heartily in giving effect to their exhibition in every way which was in the power of the Government. If he could be satisfied that these troops could be sent at a moderate expense, he would approve of some arrangement with the colonists joining the Board. In regard to the question asked him in reference to the Executive Commissioner at the Adelaide Exhibition, he would rather not say very much; but he was very glad the hon. member for the殖民地 had accepted his assurance that he had no objection to drawing up accounts, and he was bound to say that the statement made by the hon. gentleman showed the necessity for those accounts to be produced. The hon. member had certainly shown a looseness on the part of somebody in the expenditure of large sums of public money, which ought to be put right. The colonists joined the Board, the power of themselves to overturn him, even though the information came from his views. He therefore required for this important office not only a man of proved integrity, but one of sound capacity for the business of railway management and of good character. He had no objection whatever to his appointment as Chairman of Board. Make full inquiries, but no agreement to make till letter of subject has been received in May. Salary £3000. term seven years. (Signed) Sir H. Parkes. The following is a letter from the Premier to the Agent-General in Sydney, April 4, 1877. Sir.—Appended to this letter is a telegram which I sent to you on the 31st ultimo. 2. The bill to be submitted to Parliament creates a Board of Commissioners appointed by the Government, which will be thoroughly acquainted with best systems of railway management, as Chairman of Board. Make full inquiries, but no agreement to make till letter of subject has been received in May. Salary £3000. term seven years. (Signed) Sir H. Parkes. The following is a letter from the Premier to the Agent-General in Sydney, April 4, 1877. Sir.—Appended to this letter is a telegram which I sent to you on the 31st ultimo. 2. The bill to be submitted to Parliament creates a Board of Commissioners appointed by the Government, which will be thoroughly acquainted with best systems of railway management, as Chairman of Board. Make full inquiries, but no agreement to make till letter of subject has been received in May. Salary £3000. term seven years. (Signed) Sir H. Parkes.

Mr. NEILD : I paid no account save after the most careful scrutiny.

Sir HENRY PARKES : He certainly understood the hon. member to say that the accounts of the order with respect to his handling.

Mr. NEILD : That is quite true.

Sir HENRY PARKES : Well that shows a looseness which is not very satisfactory. Now he would say he thought that for some years to come we should be very clear of those exhibitions. For his part, after the exhibition in Melbourne, he should be very clear in committing the colony for some years to come an expenditure of that sort. While he should not have raised any question of that sort, now that it has been raised it showed a desirability in the expenditure of public money which created a very unusual thing. Somebody must have had considerable income. The hon. member himself knew that, and he had paid accounts of which he knew nothing.

Mr. NEILD : I paid no account save after the most careful scrutiny.

Sir HENRY PARKES : He certainly understood the hon. member to say that the accounts of the order with respect to his handling.

Mr. NEILD : That is quite true.

Sir HENRY PARKES : Well that shows a looseness which is not very satisfactory. Now he would say he thought that for some years to come we should be very clear of those exhibitions. For his part, after the exhibition in Melbourne, he should be very clear in committing the colony for some years to come an expenditure of that sort. While he should not have raised any question of that sort, now that it has been raised it showed a desirability in the expenditure of public money which created a very unusual thing. Somebody must have had considerable income. The hon. member himself knew that, and he had paid accounts of which he knew nothing.

The House, at seven minutes to 1 o'clock, adjourned until 8 o'clock on Tuesday next.

MR. GARLAND IN DEFENCE OF HIS VOTE.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HERALD.

Sir.—Having read your criticism upon my conduct in reference to the resolution of condolence to the Emperor of Germany recently passed by our Legislative Assembly, I feel justified in replying to myself, and in justification of my vote, that I am fully satisfied that the action of the Government in sending a delegation to the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for me made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

We are the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

Now I should like you to point out what is in the above that can be characterised as "unfeeling." A resolution such as the above I could have voted with the full confidence of my conscience, and I did so do.

I am asked to say that the death of a German Emperor "is an irreparable loss to the cause of freedom and human progress." I am asked to declare that which is absolutely untrue, that it is a duty to subscribe to the action of the Parliament of New South Wales in giving expression to its sympathy with the German Emperor in her great bereavement, for I made it abundantly clear in my opinion that I approved of the course.

What you complain of is that I should have been one to object to the wording of the resolution of condolence, for that in fact, all I did, in your article, is speak of the resolution as a whole, you say, "to lay wreaths upon the illustrious ruler of a great people."

How is the resolution, with that which I conceive to be objectionable and untrue eliminated?—May it please your Majesty.—We, the members of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, in Parliament assembled, do approach your Majesty with the expression of our deepest sympathy, and to say to your Government that the cause of affliction and sorrow which you have called upon the Almighty to sustain, in the removal from your side of the illustrious ruler of a great people. We pray that the Divine blessing may rest upon you, Majesty, and that you will have the spirit to make your life so conspicuous in the highest stations of human authority.

INTERCOLONIAL NEWS.

[By TELEGRAPH.]

(From our Correspondents.)

VICTORIA.

MELBOURNE, THURSDAY.
The resolution passed by the members of the Bar respecting the appointment of Sir Henry Wrenfordale as an acting Judge has been forwarded to Mr. Gillies, who replied declining to discuss the appointment, as by so doing he would thus recognise the right of the Bar to hold meeting to review legal appointments.

A peculiar disease has broken out amongst the horses in the Benalla district. The animals are attacked suddenly with a kind of paralysis in the hind-quarters, and die in a short time.

A heavy fall of snow occurred at Beaconsfield last night. Mount Macedon is also covered with snow. The weather in Melbourne is very cold. A slight fall of snow took place at Williamstown.

The half-yearly meeting of the Mercantile, Finance, Trustee, and Agency Companies was held to-day. The report was unanimously adopted, recommending the payment of a 30 per cent dividend and a 20 per cent bonus.

Thomas H. Doolan, paying teller of the Bank of Victoria, Collingwood, East, disappeared yesterday, just before the visit of the auditors. A defendant was found in his case of £100. It is supposed that there was some secret in the disappearance. He has been twenty-one years in the service of the bank. The detective have not been able to find any trace of him, and it is feared he has destroyed himself.

A fatal accident occurred on the railway at Tarnagula to-day. A guard named William Williams, in jumping from a moving truck, fell, and was killed instantly. Both his feet were cut off at the ankles.

The will of the late Mr. Mark Marks, of East Melbourne, was proved to-day. The value of property was sworn at £64,267.

QUEENSLAND.

BRISBANE, THURSDAY.

Arrangements are now being made for putting the Exhibition Grounds in order, and erecting temporary buildings for the ensuing Exhibition. A special meeting of the National Association has been called for next Wednesday to consider the question of the erection of a new permanent building.

The Lands Department accepted tenders for the conveyance of wire netting for a rabbit proof fence from Charleville to the Warrego, a distance of 240 miles; Roma to Mundubbera, 200 miles; and Roma to the crossing of the Culgoon River, 216 miles. The contract price is £8 10s to £9 per ton.

Hanlan arrived in Brisbane to-day from Rockhampton en route for Sydney.

Mr. M. H. Black, Minister for Lands, was re-elected unopposed to-day for Mackay.

Sabah, a Malay, was brought up at the Normanby Police-court this morning, charged with having murdered three white men. He was remanded for three days.

The Hon. J. M. Macrossan, Minister for Mines and Works, has been re-elected unopposed for Townsville.

The Gairdrie took from Coostow six Chinese passengers with 740 sovereigns. Four have permits to return. These are the only Chinese who have left Coostow during the month.

Another large tin ledge has been found at John's Creek, near Mount Tewell, in the Coostow district, within sixt of the surface. The ledge is 40ft wide, showing good tin.

SOUTH AUSTRALIA.

ADELAIDE, THURSDAY.

Mr. Ott's Fisher's first trial on his return from Europe was held in the Town Hall to-night. There was a good audience, and the concert was a great success. Mr. Fisher's singing was greatly admired, his cultured style being most effective. He met with a splendid reception. Mr. Fisher won Sir Thomas Elder's scholarship, in connection with the Adelaide University, about five years ago, and he has since studied at the best Continental schools.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA.

PERTH, THURSDAY.

Tephoid fever has broken out at Perth. Measures are being taken to prevent the disease spreading.

Telegraphic news from Derby gives excellent accounts of the goldfields. The Jubilee Reef, on the same line as Jackson's is yielding very rich stone. The Eureka claims are also turning out good quartz.

Yesterday Moors, Ross and Preston of Fremantle, held a trial at Fremantle of Nigrite discovered in the southern districts. The trial was very successful, and if Nigrite exists in any quantity in those districts, the discovery will be very valuable indeed.

A private exploring party who have just returned from Hampton Plains, speak very highly of the suitabilities of the country for pastoral and agricultural purposes, having found large areas of well-watered, richly-grassed country.

NEW ZEALAND.

AUCKLAND, THURSDAY.

Sheep scab has broken out among a flock in the Miranda district.

The Live Stock Committee in the Council has presented its report, recommending that all stock from Australia be subject to the same regulations as stock imported from the United Kingdom, and also agreeing to the rules of the Stock Conference in Sydney.

THE VICTORIAN RAILWAYS.

GREAT INCREASE IN THE REVENUE.

[By TELEGRAPH.]

(From our Correspondent.)

MELBOURNE, THURSDAY.

The financial year in connection with the Railway Department closed at the end of the present month. During the past 12 months the traffic has been heavier than in any preceding year, resulting in an almost abnormal increase in the revenue. It is anticipated that at the end of the month the receipts will amount to £2,750,000, or £125,000 above estimate. Last year's profit of the Department was about £40,000. Out of this year's profit, after the payment of interest on the debt, and compensation for the Windsor railway venture, there will still remain a surplus. The increased revenue this year exceeds that of the year when the railway commissioners were appointed by close upon a million.

THE GOVERNMENT TAXATION PROPOSALS IN TASMANIA.

[By TELEGRAPH.]

(From our Correspondent.)

HOBART, THURSDAY.

The taxation proposals of the Government include a halfpenny in the pound on the sterling capital value of all land, subject to a provision in case of mortgage; a halfpenny in the pound on the amount of over-mortgaged property; halfpenny in the pound upon the cash value of 1/2 shares and other interests of all shareholders; from companies whose chief place of business are outside Tasmania, not doing insurance or insurance business in the colony, halfpenny in the pound on the combined assets and liabilities; halfpenny in the pound on money belonging to residents of Tasmania deposited in any institution out of the colony.

THE WRECK OF THE SHIP HENRY JAMES.

[By TELEGRAPH.]

(From our Correspondent.)

NEWCASTLE, THURSDAY.

Charles Anderson, a seaman of the ship Henry James, which left Newcastle for San Francisco in March last, is now in hospital at the Newcastles Hospital, suffering from the effects of the wreck. He was sent to San Francisco on an open boat, and before reaching San Francisco he was ill for two weeks, and did not meet with a single soul. They were at sea 12 days, and did not meet with a single soul. He was sent to San Francisco, whence he came to Newcastle, which was the last place he shipped at in this colony.

RIDER HAGGARD'S NEW TALES.

This story, beautifully illustrated, in next Saturday's "Sydney Mail." Send 6d. in stamps to any news agent, or publishing office, Hunter and Pitt streets.—[ANV.]

PARLIAMENT OF VICTORIA.

RESUMED DEBATE ON THE ADDRESS.

AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY SIR BRYAN O'LAHLEN.

[By TELEGRAPH.]

(From our Correspondent.)

MELBOURNE, THURSDAY.

In the Legislative Assembly to-day, Mr. Patterson, who resumed the debate on the Address in Reply, expressed a decided opinion that there was only one opinion as to the illegality of the Government's action upon the Chinese question. No notice had been given of the intention to exclude Chinese. The visit of the Chinese Commissioners afforded a good opportunity for giving any Chinese grievances before them, but they were told till their backs were turned. This conduct he characterised as unmanly and un-English. He attributed the action of the Government to the influence of the Trade Hall Council, saying that the Government went clear mad in disregarding the legal aspect of the question. The fact that the Government ran away when the Chinese went to the Supreme Court was evidence of the illegality of their conduct. He maintained that the Sydney Conference had practically come to nothing, owing to Sir Henry Parkes having departed from the contract. Looking at the question in the light of foreign policy, he apprehended that harm had been done to Imperial relations by the illegal conduct of the Government.

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Reid moved, "That in the opinion of this meeting it is advisable that the town of Lithgow be proclaimed a municipality as soon as possible." Mr. Joseph Cook, secretary of the protection union, seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously. A committee was formed to get up a petition to the Colonial Secretary.

Ploughing is being pushed ahead in the Oberon district, which is still years behind. The potato harvest is good, although at Duckmallo and the Fish River the yield is very small, owing to the heavy frost.

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

Mr. Wixson replied in an effective speech. He said that neither of the pre-seeing speakers had been able to show in what respect the Government had violated the law. He claimed they were entitled to the support of the House. He took up the position that the Government had a right to disallow the landing of foreigners. He denied that it was upon the Government to give notice of the exclusion of Chinese, but he submitted that if notice were required an ample one had been given on the 5th December. Whilst keeping within the law the Government had stopped the illegal traffic in naturalisation papers, and prevented the influx of Chinese. They had also struck a note which had resounded throughout the colonies, and was heard not dimly in England.

Sir Bryan O'Lauren characterised the Federal Council as a sham. He considered that the sweeping of it away would prepare the way for real federation. The present Ministry was not competent to revise the tariff. The work required to be undertaken by a protectionist Ministry. He failed to see any outcry for electoral reform. The tariff revision and the Electoral Bill should be relegated, and a further Railway and Loan Bill substituted. He concluded by proposing an amendment to the effect "That the measures for tariff revision and electoral reform are of too important a character to be dealt with during the present session, and that the House desires that the Government should introduce a measure for the further extension of the railway system in the districts urgently requiring railway accommodation, and should take steps forthwith to make provision by loan or otherwise for the purpose."

LAW REPORT.

SUPREME COURT.—THURSDAY, JUNE 21.
In Bank.—(Before their Honors Mr. Justice WINDFAYER,
Mr. Justice INNES, and Mr. Justice FOSTER.)

ROBERTSON TEEBORN (PART HEARD).

The Attorney-General, Mr. Salomons, Q.C., and Mr. C. G. Morris, instructed by Messrs. Fisher, Hale, and Salway, for the respondent; and Mr. Pitcher, for the plaintiff.

John Richard Watkins, contractor, of Waterley, was fined 60s. for his non-attendance as a juror.

The Court then adjourned till 10 o'clock to-morrow morning.

In Equity.—(Before his Honor Mr. Justice OWEN, Chief

JUDGE AND OTHERS.)

Mr. Pitcher, for the defendant; and Mr. C. G. Morris, for the plaintiff.

John Joseph Murphy, James Hansen, Haviland Le Miserier, and Robert Shaw.

Accounts and plans were confirmed in the estates of John Joseph Murphy, James Hansen, Haviland Le Miserier, and Robert Shaw.

John George Charles Pritchard, Robert Chippett, John Greenhalgh, William Ernest Laurence Gaffney, and Peter Dennis.

DIRECTIONS RATIFIED.

Directions were ratified in the estate of Alexander Leeks Elphinston.

INCREASED REMUNERATION.

An order was made on application for increased remuneration in the estate of Michael Bradley.

ELECTION OF TRUSTEE.

In the estate of A. M. Devlin, a motion was made to confirm the election of Mr. John O'Connor as trustee.

REGISTRATION ORDER.

John Warden, of Yack, stockkeeper, out of business. Mr. E. M. Stephen, official assignee.

DISTRICT COURT.—THURSDAY.

(Before Mr. District Court Judge DOWLING.)

HILL AND ANOTHER v. O'CONNOR.

His Honor delivered judgment in this case, which

was referred to the jury to return a verdict in the defendant's favor.

After the trial, the jury returned a verdict in the defendant's favor.

John George Charles Pritchard, Robert Chippett, John Greenhalgh, William Ernest Laurence Gaffney, and Peter Dennis.

WILKINSON v. TYREE, (PART HEARD).

The suit was brought by plaintiff to obtain transfer to him, from defendant, "Terry," £1000 account and near

which he alleged he was the purchaser through the defendant Wild.

Wild, however, had since transferred to defendant Phillips at a price of £16,000.

Plaintiff argued that he was placed by the defendant as his agent for the purpose of carrying the plaintiff's goods and interest thereon.

The defendant Wild said plaintiff said that he would be unable to find the purchase money, and begged defendant to take over the contract. Defendant agreed to do so.

Plaintiff then said that he submitted his claim to the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff, or directed him to do so.

It was admitted by the defendant's counsel, viz., that there was no evidence to support the jury in the suit of the plaintiff's agent.

2. That the verdict was against evidence. 3. That his Honor was in error in directing the jury to find that they found that Mr. McDonald was placed by the defendant as his agent for the purpose of carrying the plaintiff's goods and interest thereon.

4. That as to the verdict, it ought to be set aside.

5. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

6. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

7. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

8. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

9. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

10. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

11. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

12. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

13. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

14. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

15. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

16. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

17. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

18. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

19. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

20. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

21. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

22. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

23. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

24. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

25. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

26. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

27. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

28. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

29. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

30. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

31. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

32. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

33. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

34. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

35. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

36. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

37. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

38. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

39. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

40. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

41. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

42. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

43. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

44. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

45. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

46. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

47. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

48. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

49. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

50. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

51. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

52. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

53. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

54. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

55. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

56. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

57. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

58. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

59. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

60. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

61. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

62. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

63. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

64. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

65. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

66. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

67. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

68. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

69. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

70. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

71. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

72. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

73. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

74. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

75. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

76. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

77. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

78. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

79. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

80. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

81. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

82. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

83. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

84. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

85. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

86. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

87. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

88. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

89. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

90. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

91. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

92. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

93. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

94. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

95. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

96. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

97. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

98. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

99. That the plaintiff had no cause to sue the defendant Wild, and that his Honor ought to have remitted the plaintiff.

100. That the plaintiff had no

Funerals.

THE FRIENDS of Mr. GEORGE W. ROBERTS are respectfully invited to attend the Funeral of their late friend, Mr. ROBERTS, at the Chapel of St. John's Church, Pitt-street, Paddington, THIS Friday AFTNOON, at half past 2 o'clock. Interment will be made at the Necropolis, near Crown-street, and 265, George-street South, Darlinghurst.

THE FUNERAL of the late Mrs. ELIZA MCGOWAN, widow of Mr. John McGowan, deceased, former Bridgeman, died, THIS Friday AFTNOON, at 1 o'clock, at the Residence, Victoria, 18, Pitt-street, Underwood, N.S.W., Sydney. Burial, Balmain, Petersham, and St. Leonards.

THE FRIENDS of Mr. PATRICK PITTPATRICK are respectfully invited to attend the Funeral of his beloved DAUGHTER, Bridget, THIS Friday AFTNOON, at 2 o'clock, at the Catholic Cemetery, Neutral Bay, T. DIXON, Undertaker, 10, Pitt-street.

THE FRIENDS of Mr. WILLIAM BANNERMAN are respectfully invited to attend the Funeral of his late beloved wife, Mrs. BANNERMAN, deceased, at the residence of her daughter, Elizabeth, Strawberry Hill, THIS Friday AFTNOON, at 2 o'clock, at the Residence, Victoria, 18, Pitt-street, Underwood, N.S.W., Sydney. Burial, Balmain, Petersham, and St. Leonards.

THE FRIENDS of Mr. SAMUEL HARVEY are respectfully invited to attend the Funeral of his beloved wife, Mrs. SAMUEL HARVEY, deceased, at the residence of his son, Samuel, 26, Pitt-street, Pyrmont. This Friday AFTNOON, at 2 o'clock, at St. John's Church, Jordan Street, Undertaker.

Stock, Shares, and Money.

ANGLO-AUSTRALIAN INVESTMENT, FINANCE, AND LAND COMPANY, Limited.

PAID UP CAPITAL — \$200,000
RESERVE FUND — \$20,000
UNPAID CAPITAL — \$100,000

DIRECTORS:
HENRY GORMAN, Esq., Chairman
F. C. COLE, Vice-Chairman
J. H. NEWTON, Esq., J.P.
JOHN POPE, Esq., J.P.

Head Office, 11, Hunter-street.

DEPOSITS will find the deposit of shares, as the Company's funds are invested in cash and securities, and the total capital exceeds over a quarter of a million.

Interest at Current Rates for Deposits.

PURCHASERS may obtain Allotments, Houses and Villas in the very best locality in the most convenient terms.

THE AUSTRALIAN MERCANTILE LOAN AND GUARANTEE COMPANY, Limited.

Incorporated under Companies Act, 1872.

CAPITAL, £60,000.

Reserve Liability, £12,000.

Interest, 5 per cent.

and a bonus denominated in deposits, 2 per cent.; 6 months' interest on advances.

Advances can be made to withdraw deposits at any time.

By order of the Board of Directors,
RUSSELL MARSHALL, Manager.
A. MILLER, Accountant.

THE HAWKINS LAND BUILDING AND INVESTMENT COMPANY, Limited.

General Office, George-street, Haymarket.

PAID UP CAPITAL — \$100,000

RESERVE FUND — \$20,000

UNPAID CAPITAL — \$60,000

DIRECTORS:

J. T. YELLOWS, Esq., Chairman
G. S. BROWN, Esq., Vice-Chairman
J. H. NEWTON, Esq., J.P.
J. H. LOVETT, Esq., J.P.

The above Company is prepared to receive FIXED DEPOSITS of all descriptions, withdrawable without notice, received daily. Interest allowed on the same.

The Company is prepared to advance to ANY AMOUNT on good personal security, or to any firm, by J. T. YELLOWS, General Manager.

THE LAND MORTGAGE, LOAN, AND DISBURSEMENT COMPANY, LTD., GEORGE-STREET SOUTH, NEWCASTLE.

No fixed term, or otherwise, without payment of application.

MILLS, MACHINERY, STOCK, CROPS,

WEEKLY, MONTHLY, AND ANNUAL PAYMENTS.

Loans. Weekly Repayment. Monthly Repayment.

\$100 — \$11.60

75 — 8.10

50 — 5.00

40 — 3.20

30 — 2.10

20 — 1.40

10 — 0.70

5 — 0.35

2 — 0.10

1 — 0.05

Special arrangements made for those repaying at short periods.

EDWIN H. SOUTHERN, Secretary.

THE HAWTHORNES under all classes of Security.

EDWARD H. SOUTHERN, Manager.

THE METROPOLITAN MUTUAL PERMANENT BUILDING AND INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION, Limited.

PAID UP CAPITAL — \$100,000

RESERVE FUND — \$20,000

UNPAID CAPITAL — \$60,000

DIRECTORS:

W. H. HALEY, Manager.

First Floor, 16, Oxford-street,

Opposite Park Fair.

Office Hours, daily 9 to 12. Monday evenings, 7 to 9.

The above Company may make IMMEDIATE CASH ADVANCES on the following and other descriptions of property:

Trade Buildings, £100 to £1,000.

Manufacturing Plants, £100 to £1,000.

Business Premises, £100 to £1,000.

Advances on every kind of real estate.

Advances on every kind of personal property.

Advances on every kind of stock-in-trade.

Advances on every kind of machinery.

Advances on every kind of plant.

Advances on every kind of equipment.

Advances on every kind of furniture.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of machinery.

Advances on every kind of plant.

Advances on every kind of equipment.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of furniture.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.

Advances on every kind of apparatus.

Advances on every kind of fixtures.

Advances on every kind of fittings.